



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Patents 2016

6th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into patents law

Published by Global Legal Group, in association with CDR, with contributions from:

AAA Law
Adams & Adams
AEQUO
Anderson Mori & Tomotsune
Armengaud & Guerlain
Beuchat, Barros & Pfenniger
Bird & Bird LLP
Cabinet Enpora Intellectual Property
Carroll, Burdick & McDonough LLP
Daniel Advogados
DELACOUR
Fiebinger Polak Leon Attorneys-at-Law
Gorodissky & Partners
Griffith Hack Lawyers
Gün + Partners
Jackson, Etti & Edu
JMB DAVIS BEN-DAVID
Kadasa & Partners

Kirkland & Ellis LLP
LAW OFFICE POPOVIĆ, POPOVIĆ,
SAMARDŽIJA & POPOVIĆ
OLIVARES
Patentbureau Paul Rosenich Inc
Patrinos & Kilimiris
Perry + Currier Inc.
Pham & Associates
REIMANN OSTERRIETH KÖHLER HAFT
Rouse & Co. International
Spence PC
Subramaniam & Associates
SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan
Synch Advokat AB
Tilleke & Gibbins
TIPLo Attorneys-at-Law
Wikborg Rein
Whitney Moore Solicitors



GLG

Global Legal Group

Contributing Editor
Katharine Stephens,
Bird & Bird LLP

**Head of Business
Development**
Dror Levy

Sales Director
Florjan Osmani

Commercial Director
Antony Dine

Account Directors
Oliver Smith, Rory Smith

Senior Account Manager
Maria Lopez

Sales Support Manager
Toni Hayward

Sub Editor
Amy Hirst

Senior Editor
Suzie Levy

Group Consulting Editor
Alan Falach

Group Publisher
Richard Firth

Published by
Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design
F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source
iStockphoto

Printed by
Ashford Colour Press Ltd.
August 2015

Copyright © 2015
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-910083-60-4
ISSN 2044-3129

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

1	The Trade Secrets Directive – Harmonisation of Trade Secrets Law in the EU is on the Way – Robert Williams & Warren Wayne, Bird & Bird LLP	1
2	Gulf Co-operation Council Countries – Patent Landscape – Sara Holder & Mohammad Jomoa, Rouse & Co. International/Kadasa & Partners	5

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

3	Australia	Griffith Hack Lawyers: Wayne Condon	8
4	Austria	Fiebinger Polak Leon Attorneys-at-Law: Karina Hellbert	16
5	Brazil	Daniel Advogados: Rana Gosain & Rafael Cordoville Freire	22
6	Canada	Perry + Currier Inc.: Stephen Perry & Peter Bormann	29
7	Chile	Beuchat, Barros & Pfenniger: Andrés Melossi	35
8	China	Carroll, Burdick & McDonough LLP: Dr. Paolo Beconcini & Kelly Liu	40
9	Denmark	DELACOUR: Morten Bruus	46
10	France	Armengaud & Guerlain: Catherine Mateu	52
11	Germany	REIMANN OSTERRIETH KÖHLER HAFT: Klaus Haft & Tobias J. Hessel	58
12	Greece	Patrinos & Kilimiris: Constantinos Kilimiris & Tassos Kilimiris	64
13	India	Subramaniam & Associates: Hari Subramaniam	69
14	Ireland	Whitney Moore Solicitors: Aoife Murphy & Robin Hayes	76
15	Israel	JMB DAVIS BEN-DAVID: Jeremy Ben-David & Aaron S. Lewin	82
16	Japan	Anderson Mori & Tomotsune: Yasufumi Shiroyama & Makoto Ono	86
17	Liechtenstein	Patentbureau Paul Rosenich Inc: Dr. Joachim Frommhold & Paul Rosenich	92
18	Lithuania	AAA Law: Greta Obadauskaite & Otilija Klimaitiene	99
19	Mexico	OLIVARES: Alejandro Luna & Cesar Ramos, Jr.	105
20	Nigeria	Jackson, Etti & Edu: Uwa Ohiku & Toyosi Odunmbaku	113
21	Norway	Wikborg Rein: Ingvild Hanssen-Bauer & Lars Erik Steinkjer	118
22	Philippines	SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan: Enrique T. Manuel & Vida M. Panganiban-Alindogan	124
23	Romania	Cabinet Enpora Intellectual Property: Nicoleta Tarchila & Pop Calin Radu	130
24	Russia	Gorodissky & Partners: Nikolay Bogdanov & Olga Yashina	135
25	Saudi Arabia	Kadasa & Partners (in association with Rouse & Co. International): Sara Holder & Mohammad Jomoa	141
26	Serbia	LAW OFFICE POPOVIĆ, POPOVIĆ, SAMARDŽIJA & POPOVIĆ: Lidija B. Djerić	147
27	South Africa	Adams & Adams: Russell Bagnall & Alexis Apostolidis	152
28	Sweden	Synch Advokat AB: David Leffler & Elisabeth Sundberg	160
29	Taiwan	TIPLO Attorneys-at-Law: J. K. Lin & H. G. Chen	165
30	Thailand	Tilleke & Gibbins: Nandana Indananda & Siraprapha Rungpry	172
31	Turkey	Gün + Partners: Selin Sinem Erciyas & Filiz Toprak Esin	178
32	Ukraine	AEQUO: Oleksandr Mamunya & Nataliya Dryuk	185
33	UAE	Rouse & Co. International: Sara Holder	191
34	United Kingdom	Bird & Bird LLP: Neil Jenkins & Audrey Horton	196
35	USA	Kirkland & Ellis LLP / Spence PC: Eugene Goryunov & William Cory Spence	204
36	Vietnam	Pham & Associates: Pham Vu Khanh Toan	210

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Russia

Gorodissky & Partners



Nikolay Bogdanov



Olga Yashina

1 Patent Enforcement

1.1 How and before what tribunals can a patent be enforced against an infringer?

Disputes connected with the infringement of patents shall be considered by courts: the common courts; and the commercial courts. An action must be brought in the common court if at least one party in the dispute is a natural person. In case of legal entities the commercial courts have jurisdiction.

The commercial courts have four levels: the commercial courts of the constituent units of the Russian Federation (first instance); the Commercial Courts of Appeal (appeal instance); the Intellectual Property Rights Court (cassation instance); and the Supreme Court (second cassation and supervisory instance).

At first instance court, matters are heard by a single judge. Decisions of the first instance commercial courts may be appealed to the Commercial Courts of Appeal where the appeal is heard by three judges. Further, right of appeal lies with the special IP Court and the final level of appeal lies with the Supreme Court.

1.2 What are the pre-trial procedural stages and how long does it generally take for proceedings to reach trial from commencement?

The consideration procedure in the commercial court of first instance comprises four main stages. At the first stage a filed claim is considered as too formal a requirement. Said stage takes about five days. If all formal requirements are met the claim is accepted for procedure and the court passes a decision on setting the date of the meeting with the parties and the date of the preliminary court hearing.

At the second stage, when meetings with the parties and a preliminary hearing have been held, the case is prepared for a court substantive hearing. At that stage, the court clarifies the circumstances of the case, asks the parties to deliver all their arguments and provide all evidence, and decides whether additional evidence is required and whether other persons or expert opinions are required. The second stage of the court procedure takes one or two months, certainly, if no expert reports have been ordered, since preparation of such reports may take months. Also, at this stage injunctive measures can be taken. When the case is being prepared, the date of the court hearing on the merits is set.

1.3 Can a defence of patent invalidity be raised and if so how?

Patent invalidation is a separate administrative procedure handled by the Russian Patent Office. The defence of patent invalidity cannot be raised during the patent infringement case.

1.4 How is the case on each side set out pre-trial? Is any technical evidence produced and if so how?

At the preliminary hearing the judge asks the parties to present their evidence and an outline of their written legal position on the case. The judge would also usually ask the parties to prepare and provide their list of potential experts and a list of the questions for technical analysis. He may also suggest an amicable settlement of the dispute.

1.5 How are arguments and evidence presented at the trial? Can a party change its pleaded arguments before and/or at trial?

Before or during the trial the parties can provide the court with written and real evidence, explanations of the persons participating in the case, expert opinions, consultations of specialists, witness testimonies, sound recordings and videotapes, other documents and materials are also admitted as evidence. Copies of documents, submitted to the court by a person participating in the case, are forwarded to other persons participating in the case, if they do not have these documents.

The plaintiff is entitled to change the grounds or the subject matter of the claim, to increase or decrease the amount of claims during the consideration of the case by a court of the first instance and prior to the delivery of a judicial act finalising the consideration of the case on its merits.

The court may provide a term for the presentation of additional evidence if the circumstances subject to proof change due to the plaintiff changing the ground or subject matter of the claim and the defendant filing a counterclaim.

1.6 How long does the trial generally last and how long is it before a judgment is made available?

Given that a patent trial usually requires the appointment of experts, such a trial in the court of first instance may generally last for

approximately six to eight months, and the decision enters into force if no appeal is filed. The appeal may be filed within one month from the decision. The appellate proceedings may last for three to six months depending on whether a new examination is required. After the appellate proceedings, the decision enters into force. There may be a cassation appeal. This appellate proceeding may take three months and before filing this appeal the appellant may request the court to suspend enforcement of the court decision.

1.7 Are there specialist judges or hearing officers and if so do they have a technical background?

Most of the judges do not have a special technical background. It is routine and normal practice for the courts to appoint special technical examination, involving experts in the relevant field. Usually, the parties enjoy their right to submit expert candidates to court for approval. While appointing an expert, the courts evaluate their professional experience and skills. There are also cases where parties do not file motions for examination. In this situation the court renders decisions based on other documents submitted by the parties.

There is also a specialised IP Court of Russia, which handles matters pertaining to the review of decisions made by the Russian Patent Office including patent revocation cases that are first tried by the Chamber for Patent Disputes. It also determines issues of IP ownership and authorship. Some of the judges of the IP Court have both a legal and technical background. The presence of the technical background is taken into account during the appointment of the new judges.

1.8 What interest must a party have to bring (i) infringement (ii) revocation and (iii) declaratory proceedings?

The lawsuit claiming patent infringement can be filed by the patent owner or his exclusive licensee. The request for invalidation of a patent can be filed by any person.

1.9 Can a party be compelled to provide disclosure of relevant documents or materials to its adversary and if so how?

Yes, the court can oblige the party to provide any kind of evidence and disclose the necessary documents upon request of the trial participant.

1.10 Can a party be liable for infringement as a secondary (as opposed to primary) infringer? Can a party infringe by supplying part of but not all of the infringing product or process?

There is no doctrine of contributory infringement in this country. The patent owner or his exclusive licensee, however, have the right to prevent third parties not having the owner's consent from the acts that regard the treatment of patent infringement.

The treatment of patent infringement means, for example, that not only an infringement itself, but also the preparation for such infringement is actionable.

1.11 Can a party be liable for infringement of a process patent by importing the product when the process is carried on outside the jurisdiction?

Yes, if the party in question is importing into the Russian Federation, without the permission of the patent owner, a product obtained

directly by the patented process, even if the process is carried on outside Russia. If it is a new product an identical product shall be considered as obtained by the patented process in the absence of contrary proof (a burden of proof is on the infringer).

1.12 Does the scope of protection of a patent claim extend to non-literal equivalents?

The principle of equivalent features is applicable to both Russian and Eurasian patents, and may be invoked where it is necessary to establish the use of an invention in a particular product or process – in particular, where this is required to prove patent infringement.

The scope of patent protection can potentially be significantly extended by the proper application of the doctrine of equivalents and by providing sound arguments and evidence supporting a proposed equivalent.

1.13 Other than lack of novelty and inventive step, what are the grounds for invalidity of a patent?

A patent may be recognised during the course of its term of validity as invalid in whole or in part in cases of:

- failure of the invention, utility model, or industrial design to correspond with the conditions of patentability (novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability);
- failure of the materials of application submitted at the date of filing to meet the requirements of disclosure;
- presence in the claims contained in the decision on granting a patent of features that were absent on the filing date of the application in the specification;
- granting a patent in the presence of several applications for identical inventions, utility models, or industrial designs having one and the same priority date; and
- a patent may be invalidated if it is granted to e.g. the inventor (or patent holder) "X" while in reality the invention was created for (or should be owned) by "Y". This may happen if the application documents contained false information about the inventor (applicant) in case e.g. the invention was misappropriated or wrong names were indicated by mistake. The same situation occurs if the inventor (applicant) was wilfully or mistakenly omitted from the list of inventors (applicants).

1.14 Are infringement proceedings stayed pending resolution of validity in another court or the Patent Office?

It is not possible to suspend the infringement proceedings on the basis of the raised invalidation proceedings with the Patent Office.

1.15 What other grounds of defence can be raised in addition to non-infringement or invalidity?

It is possible to claim prior use of the patented object, or to claim that the actions of the party shall not be recognised as an infringement according to Article 1359 of the Russian Civil Code ("Actions that Are Not an Infringement of the Exclusive Right").

1.16 Are (i) preliminary and (ii) final injunctions available and if so on what basis in each case?

The patentee may file a preliminary injunction motion aimed at, *inter alia*, preventing the defendant from using the alleged product/process until the court's decision at any stage of proceedings.

However, in this motion the patentee should demonstrate that the court's decision will not be enforced if the motion is not granted and that the absence of the preliminary injunction would lead to substantial damage to the patentee.

Permanent injunction can be granted if the defendant's product contains every feature of the independent claim of the patent owned by the applicant. Analysis of court practice shows that in most cases the patentee claims permanent injunction only.

1.17 On what basis are damages or an account of profits estimated?

Damages means the expenses of the person whose rights have been violated, who has suffered, or will have to incur for restoration of the violated right, loss or damage to his property (actual losses), as well as income not received, which this person would have received under ordinary conditions of civil law activities, if his right had not been violated (lost profit). If a person who has violated a right received income as a result of such action, the person whose right has been violated shall have the right to demand compensation, along with damages, of lost profit in an amount not lower than such income.

1.18 What other form of relief can be obtained for patent infringement?

The patent owner has the right to demand compensation in court instead of damages from the infringer:

- 1) in the amount from USD 250 to USD 125,000 determined at the discretion of the court depending on the nature of the infringement; or
- 2) in the amount of double the licence price which is usually charged under comparable circumstances for the lawful use of a patented subject matter by the same method as used by the infringer.

Other forms of relief may be:

- permanent injunction that is granted if the defendant's product contains every feature of the independent claim of the patent owned by the applicant;
- damages if they are proven;
- seizure and destruction of the counterfeit products; and
- publication of the court decision.

1.19 Are declarations available and if so can they address (i) non-infringement and/or (ii) claim coverage over a technical standard or hypothetical activity?

This is not applicable.

1.20 After what period is a claim for patent infringement time-barred?

The term of the limitation of actions is three years. The period starts on the day when the person learned or should have learned about the violation of his right.

1.21 Is there a right of appeal from a first instance judgment and if so is it a right to contest all aspects of the judgment?

Persons participating in the case have the right to dispute in appellate proceedings a decision of a commercial court of the first instance,

which has not yet entered into force. The appeal may not contain new claims, which have not been considered by the commercial court of the first instance.

1.22 What are the typical costs of proceedings to first instance judgment on (i) infringement and (ii) validity; how much of such costs are recoverable from the losing party?

The fees associated with handling patent infringement in the first instance court according to our preliminary estimation will be approximately USD 35,000.

1.23 For countries within the European Union: What steps are being taken in your country towards ratification, implementation and participation in the Unitary Patent Regulation (EU Regulation No. 1257/2012) and the Agreement on a Unified Patent Court? For other countries: Are there any mutual recognition of judgments arrangements relating to patents, whether formal or informal, that apply in your country?

This is not applicable.

2 Patent Amendment

2.1 Can a patent be amended *ex parte* after grant and if so how?

Amendment of granted patents is not provided for, but the Russian Patent Office shall rectify obvious and clerical errors therein.

2.2 Can a patent be amended in *inter partes* revocation proceedings?

Yes, if, in the course of consideration of the opposition against a patent, the Patent Office considers the independent claim invalid it may suggest the owner amend the claims to make them allowable. If the amended claims are recognised as patentable, a new Decision of Grant will be issued, the Office will publish information in its Bulletin that the opposed patent has been recognised as invalid in part and a new patent (with a new registration number) has been issued.

2.3 Are there any constraints upon the amendments that may be made?

The amendments can be made only on the basis of the claims as granted.

3 Licensing

3.1 Are there any laws which limit the terms upon which parties may agree a patent licence?

The law is quite liberal with regard to the terms of the contract.

The following information has to be included in the agreement:

- 1) Parties (their names and addresses).
- 2) The subject of the agreement. It is necessary to indicate each patent.

- 3) Ways of using the patent for the licensee.
- 4) Remuneration/royalty which the licensee agrees to pay to the licensor for the use of the patent, or the procedure for determining the compensation.
- 5) Type of licence (exclusive or non-exclusive). If the type of licence is not indicated, it is presumed that the licence is non-exclusive.
- 6) Territory: if the territory is not indicated, the agreement shall be valid in the whole territory of the Russian Federation.
- 7) The term during which the agreement is concluded. Such term shall not be longer than the period of validity of the patent, the rights to which are granted. It is also possible to indicate that the agreement shall be valid during the period of validity of the patent, the rights to which are granted. If the term is not indicated, it is presumed that the agreement is concluded for five years.

3.2 Can a patent be the subject of a compulsory licence and if so how are the terms settled and how common is this type of licence?

If an invention is not used or is used insufficiently by the patent owner during the course of four years from the date of the issuance of a patent, any person wishing and prepared to use this invention in case of refusal by the patent owner to conclude with this person a licence agreement on conditions corresponding to established practice shall have the right to initiate a lawsuit against the patent owner and demand granting of a compulsory non-exclusive licence on the territory of the Russian Federation.

During the existence of this provision in the Civil Code not a single compulsory licence has been granted by the RU PTO.

4 Patent Term Extension

4.1 Can the term of a patent be extended and if so (i) on what grounds and (ii) for how long?

The validity term for patents related to pharmaceuticals, pesticides or agricultural chemicals, for the use of which a special approval is required by law may be extended by a period counted from the application filing date to the date of obtaining the first authorisation excluding five years, provided that such period does not exceed five years. From January 1, 2015, the extension of a patent term for such inventions shall be accomplished by issuing a supplementary patent with the claims containing a combination of features of the patented invention characterising the product for the use of which permission has been obtained.

5 Patent Prosecution and Opposition

5.1 Are all types of subject matter patentable and if not what types are excluded?

A patent may be obtained for a technical solution related to a product (in particular, a device, a substance, a microorganism strain, or a culture of cells of plants or animals) or to a method.

Pharmaceuticals, chemical compositions and treatment of the human or animal body are patentable. Animal and plant varieties are not protectable as inventions, but they have their own protection. Not patentable are methods of human cloning and a human clone, methods of modification of genetic integrity of cells of human

embryo lines, use of human embryos in manufacturing and commercial purposes, other solutions contrary to social interests, the principals of humanity and morals, discoveries, scientific theories and mathematical methods, solutions relating only to an external appearance of manufactures and directed towards the satisfaction of aesthetic requirements, rules and methods of games, intellectual or economic activity, computer programs and solutions consisting only in the presentation of information.

5.2 Is there a duty to the Patent Office to disclose prejudicial prior disclosures or documents? If so, what are the consequences of failure to comply with the duty?

In respect of the prior art disclosure, the Patent Rules prescribe that the applicant submit to the Patent Office information about prior art known to him as being relevant to the claimed invention. The Patent Office shall not need, however, to declare, or notify about the disclosure made by the inventor, the applicant, or a third party who obtained the information of the invention from them, during the grace period (within a six-month period preceding the filing date), even if such disclosure can affect patentability of the invention. The patent examiner examining patentability of the claimed invention, or a third party challenging validity of the patent, however, can refer to any discovered disclosure which, in his opinion, is an item of prior art affecting the patentability of the invention. In that case, the applicant (patent owner) will have an opportunity to present his counterarguments to such assertions, and, for example, invoke the effect of the 'grace period'.

5.3 May the grant of a patent by the Patent Office be opposed by a third party and if so when can this be done?

A patent may be opposed and invalidated, either entirely or in part by any person only after a grant at any time during its validity.

After expiry of the patent or its earlier termination, the validity of the patent, nevertheless may be contested by the interested person, e.g. the person accused of patent infringement.

5.4 Is there a right of appeal from a decision of the Patent Office and if so to whom?

The decision to refuse to grant a patent can be appealed with the Patent Office and further by submitting an appeal to the IPR Court.

5.5 How are disputes over entitlement to priority and ownership of the invention resolved?

In this country we have a first-to-file system. Hence the person who files first shall be considered to be entitled to the ownership of the invention unless the court rules that another person has the right to be granted a patent to this invention. These disputes mostly arise in respect of the employees' inventions. In those cases (under the court ruling) the Patent Office shall invalidate the patent partly and issue a new patent in the name of the true owner.

5.6 Is there a "grace period" in your country and if so how long is it?

There is a "grace period" in this country. Disclosure of information relating to an invention by the inventor, applicant or any person who has obtained this information from them directly or indirectly

is not regarded as prejudicial to the patentability of an invention if the patent application was filed at the Patent Office no later than six months after the date of disclosure. Proof of the circumstances of disclosure rests with the applicant and patent owner.

5.7 What is the term of a patent?

The general term of protection is 20 years from the filing date. The validity term for patents related to pharmaceuticals, pesticides or agrichemicals, for the use of which a special approval (so called 'marketing authorisation') is required by law, may be extended by a period counted from the application filing date to the date of obtaining the first authorisation excluding five years, provided that such period does not exceed five years.

6 Border Control Measures

6.1 Is there any mechanism for seizing or preventing the importation of infringing products and if so how quickly are such measures resolved?

The law does not allow the importing of goods into Russia whose importation could infringe IP rights of the third parties, including the rights to a patent. Once the owner of the patent becomes aware of such unlawful importation, he may initiate an administrative, civil or criminal case against the infringer(s) and seize the goods with their further destruction.

7 Antitrust Law and Inequitable Conduct

7.1 Can antitrust law be deployed to prevent relief for patent infringement being granted?

No provisions regarding the patent infringement are set by the antitrust law.

7.2 What limitations are put on patent licensing due to antitrust law?

No limitation regarding the patent licensing is provided by the antitrust law.

8 Current Developments

8.1 What have been the significant developments in relation to patents in the last year?

As from January 1, 2015 the PTE for patents related to pharmaceuticals, pesticides or agrichemicals has been provided by issuing a supplementary patent in respect of the product covered by the main patent for which marketing authorisation is granted.

8.2 Are there any significant developments expected in the next year?

From 2015 – 2016, it is expected that the Russian Patent Office will issue new (updated) examination regulations and guidelines regarding patent applications.

8.3 Are there any general practice or enforcement trends that have become apparent in Russia over the last year or so?

No, there are none.



Nikolay Bogdanov

Gorodissky & Partners
B.Spasskaya Str., 25
Bldg. 3, 129090
Moscow
Russia

Tel: +7 495 937 6116
Fax: +7 495 937 6104
Email: BogdanovN@gorodissky.ru
URL: www.gorodissky.ru

Partner, Counsel and Russian Patent Attorney.

Nikolay graduated from the Moscow Institute of Electrical Engineering and the Russian Academy of Government Service under the President of the Russian Federation, he also graduated from the Central Institute of Intellectual Property (Moscow).

He started his career in the Russian PTO as an examiner, researcher, the Deputy Chief of Legal the Department, the Deputy Chief of the Department for International Cooperation, and the Deputy General Director of the Russian PTO.

He held the position of deputy plenipotentiary representative of the Russian Federation at the Interstate Council of CIS countries on IP Protection.

He has completed training courses at the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Academy (Geneva, Switzerland), the International Training Center of Industrial Property at R. Schumann University (Strasbourg, France), and the International Intellectual Property Training Institute (Tedjon, Korea).

He contributed to the development of Russian legislative and regulatory acts in the IP area, drafting international agreements in the field of patent harmonisation under WIPO.

Nikolay has also participated in intergovernmental negotiations, including those on Russia joining the WTO.

He is a member of the AIPPI.

Furthermore, Nikolay has a number of publications, is a frequent speaker at conferences on various issues relating to IP rights and enforcement and has co-authored official comments regarding Russian Patent Law.

He joined "Gorodissky & Partners" in 2004.

He advises clients on Russian intellectual property legislation and gives expert judgment on the legal documents.

Nikolay also speaks English.



Olga Yashina

Gorodissky & Partners
B.Spasskaya Str., 25
Bldg. 3, 129090
Moscow
Russia

Tel: +7 495 937 6116
Fax: +7 495 937 6104
Email: YashinaO@gorodissky.ru
URL: www.gorodissky.ru

Lawyer.

Olga graduated from the Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radioelectronics in 2011 majoring in IP rights protection. From 2010 to 2011 she attended the WIPO Summer School on Intellectual Property in St. Petersburg.

From 2011 to 2012 she worked in the Moscow office of Gowlings International, Inc., providing intellectual property law services.

She joined "Gorodissky & Partners" (Moscow) in 2012.

She specialises in a broad range of legal issues on IP protection, including patent law, trademarks, copyright and neighbouring rights. Olga further deals with plant variety protection, inclusion of IP subject matters in the Russian Customs Register, drafts and registers licence agreements and IP rights assignment agreements. She is experienced in due-diligence for major Russian and foreign companies.

Olga also speaks English.

GORODISSKY

"Gorodissky & Partners", the premier intellectual property firm in Russia, was founded by patent attorneys and lawyers who began their professional careers in 1959.

Today "Gorodissky & Partners", a leading Russian IP law firm (no.1 in Russia in patents and trademarks since 1998 – MIP), provides a full range IP services, including prosecution and enforcement of IP rights. The firm is the largest IP practice in Russia and is among the top 10 in Europe.

The main office is in Moscow, branch offices include St. Petersburg, N. Novgorod, Krasnodar, Samara, Ekaterinburg, Perm, Kazan (all in Russia) and Kiev (Ukraine).

The firm has about 430 employees, including 137 patent/trademark attorneys (22 Eurasian patent attorneys among them) and lawyers work for the firm. They provide clients and associates with professional and cost-effective services in obtaining and protecting rights for inventions and utility models, trademarks, designs, plant varieties, copyright, technology transfer and licensing, IP valuation, litigation, domain names as well as due-diligence patents, searches and also in litigating IP matters. The firm is responsible for around half of the foreign patent applications and one-third of the trademark applications filed in Russia every year. Principal technical fields of Gorodissky & Partners include IT, Electronics, Mechanics, Physics, Chemistry, Biotech, Pharma, Medicine, Nanotech and others.

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Merger Control
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks

The logo for Global Legal Group, consisting of the letters 'GLG' in a bold, sans-serif font. The 'G' and 'L' are white, and the 'G' is green. The letters are centered between two horizontal lines.

Global Legal Group

59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: sales@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.co.uk